arXiv:1409.4464v2 [math.RT] 1 Apr 2015

CHARACTER FORMULAE AND A REALIZATION OF TILTING MODULES FOR $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$

MATTHEW BENNETT AND VYJAYANTHI CHARI

Abstract. In this paper we study the category of graded modules for the current algebra associated to $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. The category enjoys many nice properties, including a tilting theory which was established in [2]. We show that the indecomposable tilting modules for $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$ are the exterior powers of the fundamental global Weyl module and give the filtration multiplicities in the standard and costandard filtration. An interesting consequence of our result (which is far from obvious from the abstract definition) is that an indecomposable tilting module admits a free right action of the ring of symmetric polynomials in finitely many variables. Moreover, if we go modulo the augmentation ideal in this ring, the resulting $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$–module is isomorphic to the dual of a local Weyl module.

1. Introduction

The current algebra $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ associated to a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is the algebra of polynomial maps $\mathbb{C} \to \mathfrak{g}$. Equivalently, it is the complex vector space $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ and the commutator is the $\mathbb{C}[t]$–bilinear extension of the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{g}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ is graded by the non–negative integers where the r–th graded component is $\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^r$. We are interested in the (non–semisimple) category $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$–graded representations of this Lie algebra with the restrictions that the graded components are finite–dimensional and, only finitely many negatively graded components are non–zero. The category contains a number of well–known and interesting objects: the $\mathfrak{g}$–stable Demazure modules occurring in the highest weight integrable representations of the affine Lie algebra associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ (see [9], [15]) and also the graded limits of many important families of finite–dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras (see for instance [10], [11], [20], [22], [23]). Moreover, the category $\mathcal{I}$ is one of the motivating examples of affine highest weight categories introduced recently in [17] and [18].

The isomorphism classes of simple objects in $\mathcal{I}$ are indexed by pairs (λ, r) where λ is a dominant integral weight of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is not hard to see that an irreducible module $V(\lambda, r)$ in the corresponding class is just isomorphic to the finite–dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$–module associated to λ. The category is well-behaved in the sense that it has enough projective objects (see [7]) although these are never finite–dimensional. The projective cover $P(\lambda, r)$ of $V(\lambda, r)$ has two other important quotients: the first one is called the global Weyl module $W(\lambda, r)$ and is the maximal quotient of $P(\lambda, r)$ whose weights are all bounded above by λ. The global Weyl modules are infinite–dimensional (if $\lambda \neq 0$) and the second quotient which

M. B. was partially supported by FAPESP grant 2012/06923-0 and BEPE Grant 2013/20243-5.
V.C. was partially supported by DMS- 1303052.

is of interest to us, is the unique maximal quotient of $W(\lambda, r)$ with a one dimensional λ-weight space. These are called the local Weyl modules and are denoted as $W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda, r)$; they are finite–dimensional, indecomposable but usually reducible objects of $\mathcal{I}$. The definition of the global Weyl module parallels the definition of standard modules which arise in different contexts in the literature (see for instance [12], [13]). An important result conjectured in [4] and eventually proved in [8] in complete generality is: the projective module $P(\lambda, r)$ has a filtration where the successive quotients are global Weyl modules with multiplicities given by the Jordan–Holder series of the dual local Weyl modules. This implies that the analog of a costandard object in this category should be the dual local Weyl module. (We remark here that the category $\mathcal{I}$ is not closed under taking duality, although the full subcategory of $\mathcal{I}$ consisting of finite–dimensional objects is closed under duality).

With the definitions of standard and costandard objects in place, it is reasonable to ask if the category contains tilting objects; namely an object which has a filtration where the successive quotients are standard modules and another filtration where the successive quotients are costandard modules. In [2], the authors introduced the notion of an o–canonical filtration of an object of $\mathcal{I}$ and gave an Ext–vanishing criterion for the existence of a standard filtration. A similar result for the costandard filtration does not follow since the standard and costandard modules are not dual to each other and in fact such a criterion is not known. This, along with the fact that the index set of simple objects is infinite causes some difficulty. However, the main result of [2], shows very abstractly (using the ideas in [19] for algebraic groups), the existence of a unique (up to isomorphism) indecomposable tilting object $T(\lambda, r)$ for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and λ a dominant integral weight of $\mathfrak{g}$. It was also proved that any other tilting object in $\mathcal{I}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of the indecomposable tilting objects.

In this paper we turn to the question of determining the character of the indecomposable tilting modules in the simplest case of $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$. In the case of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, a dominant integral weight λ is just a non–negative integer and we prove that the module $T(\lambda, 0)$ is just a grade shift of $\wedge^\lambda(W(1,0))$ and we compute its character. This realization of the tilting module associated to $(\lambda, 0)$ proves that it admits a free right action for the ring $\mathbf{A}_\lambda$ of symmetric polynomials in λ–indeterminates. We show that

$$T(\lambda, 0) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_\lambda} \mathbf{A}_\lambda/\mathbf{I}_\lambda \cong_{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda, r)^*,$$

for suitable $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, here $\mathbf{I}_\lambda$ is the unique maximal graded ideal of $\mathbf{A}_\lambda$. These results should be compared with the results on global Weyl modules (see [11]): $W(\lambda, 0)$ is the λ–th symmetric power of $W(1, 0)$ and hence a free right module for $\mathbf{A}_\lambda$ with

$$W(\lambda, 0) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_\lambda} \mathbf{A}_\lambda/\mathbf{I}_\lambda \cong_{\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda, 0).$$

In the case of higher rank simple Lie algebras, suitable analogs of the result for global Weyl modules is known through the work of [9], [15] and [21].

We end the introduction with some comments on the higher rank case. Based on our result for $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$ it is tempting to conjecture that in general, the tilting module $T(\lambda, 0)$ is a free $\mathbf{A}_\lambda$–module and gives the dual local Weyl module on passing to the quotient by $\mathbf{I}_\lambda$. In the case of $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}[t]$ and for a multiple of the first fundamental weight, it seems likely that our methods will establish this conjecture. In the general case there are several obstructions. The global Weyl modules $W(\lambda, r)$ have a nice presentation which makes the action of the algebra $\mathbf{A}_\lambda$ on it very natural (see [6]) even in the higher rank cases. However, this is not the case for tilting

modules and the abstract construction does not indicate the existence of this action. The other difficulty is the following. In the case of $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$ we prove in this paper that the tensor product of global Weyl modules has a filtration by global Weyl modules. However, as Mark Shimozono pointed out to us, it is easy to check, using the known character formulae for the global Weyl module that the tensor square of the second fundamental representation for $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}[t]$ cannot admit a filtration by global Weyl modules.

Acknowledgements. Part of this work was done when the authors were visiting the Centre de Recherche Mathematique (CRM) in connection with the thematic semester "New Directions in Lie theory". The authors are grateful to the CRM for the superb working environment. The authors are alsovery grateful to the referee for their careful reading of the paper and their many valuable comments and corrections.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Throughout this paper we denote by $\mathbb{C}$ the field of complex numbers and by $\mathbb{Z}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathbb{N}$) the subset of integers (resp. non–negative, positive integers). We fix an indeterminate u and let $\mathbb{Z}[[u,u^{-1}]]$ be the set of power series in u and u^{-1}. We shall generally be interested in the case when the power series is infinite only in one direction. Given $n,s\in\mathbb{N}$, define elements $(1:u)_n\in\mathbb{Z}[[u]]$, and $[n]$, $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix}\in\mathbb{Z}[u]$ by

$$(1:u)_n=\frac{1}{(1-u)(1-u^2)\cdots(1-u^n)},$$

$$[n]=\frac{1-u^n}{1-u},\qquad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix}=\frac{(1-u^n)\cdots(1-u^{n-s+1})}{(1-u)\cdots(1-u^s)}.$$

We understand also that $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix}=1$ if s is zero and $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix}=0$ if $s<0$.

2.2. We say that a complex vector space V is $\mathbb{Z}$–graded and locally finite–dimensional if it is a direct sum of finite–dimensional subspaces $V[r]$, $r\in\mathbb{Z}$. Set

$$\mathbb{H}(V)=\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}}\dim V[r]u^r.$$

For $s\in\mathbb{Z}$ we let τ_s^*V be the grade shift of V, i.e. $(\tau_s^*V)[r]=V[r-s]$, it follows that $\mathbb{H}(\tau_s^*V)=u^s\mathbb{H}(V)$. The one–dimensional vector space $\mathbb{C}$ will be regarded as being $\mathbb{Z}$–graded and located in grade zero. We adopt the convention that all unadorned tensor products of complex vector spaces are over $\mathbb{C}$. The tensor product of $\mathbb{Z}$–graded vector spaces V_1 and V_2 is again graded, with grading given by,

$$(V_1\otimes V_2)[r]=\bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}V_1[k]\otimes V_2[r-k].$$

If $\dim V_j[r]=0$ for all r sufficiently large (resp. sufficiently small) for $j=1,2$ then $V_1\otimes V_2$ is locally finite–dimensional if V_1 and V_2 are locally finite–dimensional and in this case we have

$$\mathbb{H}(V_1\otimes V_2)=\mathbb{H}(V_1)\mathbb{H}(V_2).$$

A linear map $f : V_1 \to V_2$ is graded of degree p if $f(V_1[r]) \subset V_2[r+p]$ for some fixed $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. The subspace $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{gr}}(V_1, V_2)$ of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_1, V_2)$ spanned by graded maps is again a graded vector space. In the special case when $V_1 = V$ is locally finite–dimensional, and V_2 is one–dimensional, i.e. $V_2 = \tau_s^*\mathbb{C}$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\mathbb{H}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{gr}}(V, \tau_s^*\mathbb{C})) = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V[r], \mathbb{C})u^{s-r}.$$

In the rest of the paper, we shall denote by V^* the vector space $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{gr}}(V, \mathbb{C})$ *in which case,* $\tau_s^*(V^*) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{gr}}(V, \tau_s^*\mathbb{C})$.

2.3. Fix an indeterminate t and a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$, and let $\mathfrak{a}[t] = \mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ be the Lie algebra with bracket

$$[x \otimes t^r, y \otimes t^s] = [x, y] \otimes t^{r+s}, \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{a}, \quad r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}[t]$ has a natural grading given by the powers of t and the enveloping algebra $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{a}[t])$ acquires a canonical grading: thus $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{a}[t])[k]$ is the subspace of $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{a}[t])$ spanned by elements of the form $(a_1 \otimes t^{r_1}) \cdots (a_s \otimes t^{r_s})$ with $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $r_1 + \cdots + r_s = k$. We identify $\mathfrak{a}$ with the graded subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} \otimes 1 \subset \mathfrak{a}[t]$.

2.4. By a graded representation of $\mathfrak{a}[t]$, we mean a $\mathbb{Z}$–graded vector space V such that

$$(\mathfrak{a} \otimes t^r)V[s] \subset V[r+s], \quad r \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

If V_j, $j = 1, 2$ are graded representations of $\mathfrak{a}[t]$, then so are $V_1 \otimes V_2$ and V_j^* with $j = 1, 2$. Let $\mathrm{ev}_0 : \mathfrak{a}[t] \to \mathfrak{a}$ be the homomorphism of Lie algebras which is the identity on $\mathfrak{a}$ and zero on $\mathfrak{a} \otimes t^s$ if $s > 0$. The pull–back of a representation V of $\mathfrak{a}$ by ev_0 gives a graded representation $\mathrm{ev}_0^* V$ of $\mathfrak{a}[t]$.

2.5. Let $\mathbb{C}[t_1, \cdots, t_r]$ be the polynomial algebra in r–variables and regard it as being $\mathbb{Z}_+$ graded by requiring the grade of t_j to be one for $1 \le j \le r$. The symmetric group S_r on r-letters acts in a natural way on this algebra by permuting the variables $t_1, \cdots, t_r$. Let $\mathbf{A}_r$ be the subalgebra of symmetric polynomials, and note that

$$\mathbb{H}(\mathbf{A}_r) = (1 : u)_r.$$

Let $\mathbf{I}_r$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathbf{A}_r$ defined by taking the sum of all the positively graded components of $\mathbf{A}_r$. Recall also that $\mathbb{C}[t_1, \cdots, t_r]$ ia a free $\mathbf{A}_r$–module of rank $r!$. *In the rest of the paper, we shall use freely the fact that $\mathbf{A}_r$ is a polynomial algebra and hence, by the Quillen–Suslin theorem that a summand of a free module for $\mathbf{A}_r$ is free.*

2.6. Given a representation V of $\mathfrak{a}$, define a representation of $\mathfrak{a}[t]$ on $V \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$, by

$$(x \otimes t^r)(v \otimes t^s) = xv \otimes t^{r+s}.$$

It is clear that the obvious grading on $V \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ makes it a graded $\mathfrak{a}[t]$–module. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the graded left $\mathfrak{a}[t]$–module $(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])^{\otimes r}$. Using the identification,

$$(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])^{\otimes r} \cong V^{\otimes r} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_1, \cdots, t_r],$$

we see that there exists a graded right action of $\mathbb{C}[t_1, \cdots, t_r]$ on $(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])^{\otimes r}$ given by right multiplication and hence also a graded right action of $\mathbf{A}_r$. Further $(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])^{\otimes r}$ is a free

module for $\mathbf{A}_r$ under this action. The action also commutes with the left action of $\mathfrak{a}[t]$, i.e., $(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])^{\otimes r}$ is a graded bimodule for the pair $(\mathfrak{a}[t], \mathbf{A}_r)$.

Consider the natural left action of S_r on $(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])^{\otimes r}$; this commutes with the left action of $\mathfrak{a}[t]$ and the right action of $\mathbf{A}_r$. In particular, every isotypical component of the action of S_r on $(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])^{\otimes r}$ is a left $\mathfrak{a}[t]$–module and a right $\mathbf{A}_r$–module. Since $(V \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])^{\otimes r}$ is a direct sum of the S_r–isotypical components it follows that every isotypical component is a free $\mathbf{A}_r$–module. We shall be interested in two particular isotypical components, namely the ones corresponding to the trivial and the sign representation of S_r, i.e., the symmetric and wedge powers of $V \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$.

2.7. In the rest of the paper we take $\mathfrak{a}$ to be the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ of 2×2 complex matrices of trace zero and x, y, h to be be the standard basis of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. Let $V(1)$ be the natural representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ with basis e_j, $j = 1, 2$ such that

$$xe_1 = ye_2 = 0, \quad he_j = (3-2j)e_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad ye_1 = e_2, \quad xe_2 = e_1.$$

More generally, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $V(\lambda)$ be the unique (up to isomorphism) irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ with dimension $\lambda + 1$. It will be convenient to set $V(\lambda) = 0$ if $\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Any finite–dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2$–module V is isomorphic to a direct sum of the $V(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and

$$V \cong \bigoplus_{\mu\in\mathbb{Z}} V_\mu, \quad V_\mu = \{v \in V : hv = \mu v\}.$$

The character of V, denoted as $\operatorname{ch} V$ is the function $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_+$ sending $\mu \to \dim V_\mu$. Then,

$$V \cong \oplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}_+} V(\lambda)^{\oplus m_\lambda} \implies \operatorname{ch} V = \sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}_+} m_\lambda \operatorname{ch} V(\lambda),$$

where,

$$\operatorname{ch} V(\lambda)(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1, & \mu = \lambda - 2p, \quad 0 \le p \le \lambda, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3. The main results

3.1. Let $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$ be the category whose objects are $\mathbb{Z}$–graded representations V of $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$ satisfying

$$V[p] = 0, \quad p << 0, \qquad \dim V[p] < \infty, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}, \qquad V_\mu = 0 \ \text{ for all but finitely many } \mu \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and the morphisms are degree zero maps of $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$–modules. The category $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$ is abelian and is closed under tensor products. The dual (see Section 2.2) of a finite–dimensional object of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$ is again an object of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$. Given an object V of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$, we have

$$V \cong_{\mathfrak{sl}_2} \bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} V[r], \qquad V_\mu = \bigoplus_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} V[r]_\mu, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The graded character is

$$\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} V = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{ch} V[r] u^r = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(V(\lambda), V[r]) \operatorname{ch} V(\lambda) \right) u^r.$$

For brevity, we shall also write

$$[V[r] : V(\lambda)] = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(V(\lambda), V[r]).$$

Graded characters are additive on short exact sequences and multiplicative on tensor products. Moreover, if V is such that V^* is also an object $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$, we have

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, V^* = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{sl}_2}(V(\lambda), V[r])\, \mathrm{ch}\, V(\lambda) \right) u^{-r}. \tag{3.1}$$

Given an object of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$, V and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we let $S^\lambda V$ and $\wedge^\lambda V$ be the λ–the symmetric and exterior power of V respectively, and note that these are also objects of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$. Recall that the socle (resp. head) of a module V is the maximal semi–simple submodule, denoted $\mathrm{soc}\, V$ (resp. quotient $\mathbf{h}(V)$) of V.

3.2. We introduce the main objects of interest and summarize the necessary results. We refer the reader to [11, Section 2] (see also [9], [14]) for details. The simple objects of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$ are,

$$V(\lambda, r) = \tau_r^*\, \mathrm{ev}_0^*\, V(\lambda), \quad r \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the global Weyl module $W(\lambda)$ is defined to be the $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$–module generated by an element w_λ with relations:

$$(x \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])w_\lambda = 0, \quad (h \otimes 1)w_\lambda = \lambda w_\lambda, \quad (y \otimes 1)^{\lambda+1} w_\lambda = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Since the defining relations of $W(\lambda)$ are graded, it follows that $W(\lambda)$ is a graded $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$–module once we define the grade of w_λ to be zero. Moreover,

$$W(\lambda)[-r] = 0, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \dim W(\lambda)[r] < \infty, \quad W(\lambda)_\mu \neq 0 \implies -\lambda \leq \mu \leq \lambda.$$

It follows that $W(\lambda) \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$ and for $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$W(\lambda, r) = \tau_r^* W(\lambda).$$

The local Weyl module $W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)$ is the graded quotient of $W(\lambda)$ obtained by imposing the additional relation:

$$(h \otimes t^s)w_\lambda = 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write $W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda, r) = \tau_r^* W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)$. It is elementary to see that

$$\dim W(\lambda, r)[r]_\lambda = \dim W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda, r)_\lambda = 1,$$

and we shall denote by w_λ any non–zero element of the corresponding space. Note that $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, W(\lambda, r) = u^r\, \mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, W(\lambda)$ etc. We use the notation and results of Section 2.5 freely in the next proposition.

Proposition. *(i) We have an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$—modules:*

$$W(\lambda) \cong S^\lambda(V(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]).$$

In particular $W(1) \cong V(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$. Moreover, $W(\lambda)$ is a free right module for $\mathbf{A}_\lambda$ of rank 2^λ and

$$W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda) \cong W(\lambda) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_\lambda} \mathbf{A}_\lambda/\mathbf{I}_\lambda.$$

(ii) For $0 \leq p \leq \lambda$, we have,

$$\mathbb{H}(W(\lambda)_{\lambda-2p}) = (1:u)_\lambda \mathbb{H}(W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)_{\lambda-2p}) = (1:u)_\lambda \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ p \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda) = \sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ r \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ r-1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \mathrm{ch}\, V(\lambda - 2r),$$

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, W(\lambda) = (1:u)_\lambda\, \mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda).$$

(iii) The module $W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda, r)$ has a unique irreducible quotient which is isomorphic to $V(\lambda, r)$. Equivalently, the dual module $W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda, -r)^*$ has an irreducible socle which is isomorphic to $V(\lambda, r)$.

□

3.3. We say that an object M of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$ has a filtration by global Weyl modules if there exists a decreasing family of submodules

$$M = M^0 \supset M^1 \supset \cdots \supset M^k \supset M^{k+1} = \{0\},$$

such that for all $1 \leq s \leq k$, there exists $\lambda_s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $m_{s,p} \in \mathbb{Z}$, with

$$M^s/M^{s+1} \cong \bigoplus_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} W(\lambda_s, p)^{\oplus m_{s,p}}.$$

It was shown in [2], [4] that the multiplicity of a global Weyl module in such a filtration is independent of the choice of the filtration.

Remark. It is worth emphasizing that for a fixed s, one could have $m_{s,p} > 0$ for infinitely many $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

We shall say that M has a filtration by dual local Weyl modules, if there exists a decreasing family of submodules

$$M = M^0 \supset M^1 \supset M^2 \supset \cdots, \quad \cap_{s\geq 0} M^s = \{0\},$$

such that for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ there exists $\lambda_s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $p_s \in \mathbb{Z}$. such that

$$M^s/M^{s+1} \cong W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda_s, p_s)^*.$$

Again, the multiplicity of the dual local Weyl module in such a filtration was proved in [2] to be independent of the filtration. Since the local Weyl modules are finite–dimensional, we see that the filtration is of infinite length unless $\dim M < \infty$.

Say that an object T of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$ is tilting if it has a filtration by global Weyl modules and a filtration by dual local Weyl modules. Clearly, if T is tilting, then so is $\tau_s^* T$ for any $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. The dual of the following was proved in [2, Theorem 2.7].

Theorem. Given $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) indecomposable tilting module $T(\lambda, r)$ such that

$$\operatorname{wt} T(\lambda, r) \subset \{\lambda, \lambda - 2, \cdots, -\lambda\}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\dim T(\lambda, r)[r]_\lambda = 1, \quad \dim T(\lambda, r)[s]_\lambda = 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad s < r. \tag{3.4}$$

In particular, $T(\lambda, r) = \tau_r^* T(\lambda, 0)$. Further, any tilting module in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of modules $T(\lambda, r)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

3.4. The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and recall that $W(1) \cong V(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$. We have an isomorphism of objects of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$,

$$T(\lambda, a_\lambda) \cong \bigwedge^\lambda W(1), \quad a_\lambda = \binom{\lambda}{2},$$

where we understand that $a_1 = 0$. In particular, for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, the modules $T(\lambda, r)$ have the structure of a free right $\mathbf{A}_\lambda$–module.

3.5. We outline the main steps of the proof. Using Theorem 3.3 we see that to prove Theorem 3.4, we must show that the $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$-module $\wedge^\lambda W(1)$,

(1) satisfies equations (3.3) and (3.4), with r replaced by a_λ,
(2) is indecomposable,
(3) admits a filtration by dual local Weyl modules, and
(4) admits a filtration by global Weyl modules.

3.6. We establish step 1 of the proof. Recall that we have chosen a basis e_1, e_2 of $V(1)$. By Proposition 3.2(i) the elements $e_j \otimes t^s$, $j = 1, 2$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ are a basis for $W(1)$. Hence,

$$W(1)_\mu = 0, \quad \mu \neq \pm 1, \quad W(1)[s] = 0, \quad s < 0, \quad W(1)[0]_1 = \mathbb{C}.$$

It follows that

$$\left(W(1)^{\otimes \lambda}\right)_\mu \neq 0 \implies \mu \in \{\lambda, \lambda - 2, \cdots, -\lambda\},$$

which shows that $\wedge^\lambda W(1)$ satisfies equation (3.3). Since

$$\left(W(1)^{\otimes \lambda}\right)_\lambda = e_1^{\otimes \lambda} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_1, \cdots, t_\lambda],$$

it follows that if $f \in \mathbb{C}[t_1, \cdots, t_\lambda]$, the element $e_1^{\otimes \lambda} \otimes f \in \left(\wedge^\lambda W(1)\right)_\lambda$ iff f is an alternating polynomial in the indeterminates $t_1, \cdots, t_\lambda$. This is equivalent to requiring that $f = \operatorname{Vand}(\lambda) g$ for some $g \in \mathbf{A}_\lambda$, where if $\lambda \geq 2$, $\operatorname{Vand}(\lambda)$ is the Vandermonde determinant in $t_1, \cdots, t_\lambda$ and $\operatorname{Vand}(1) = 1$. Summarizing, we have shown,

$$\left(\wedge^\lambda W(1)\right)_\lambda = e_1^{\otimes \lambda} \otimes \operatorname{Vand}(\lambda) \mathbf{A}_\lambda, \tag{3.5}$$

and hence we get

$$\left(\wedge^\lambda W(1)\right)[s]_\lambda = \begin{cases} 1, & s = a_\lambda, \\ 0, & s < a_\lambda. \end{cases}$$

This proves that $\wedge^\lambda W(1)$ satisfies equations (3.4) with r replaced by a_λ.

3.7. The proof of steps 2 and 3 can be found in Section 4. In the course of establishing these steps we shall also prove the following,

Proposition. *For $\lambda \in P^+$ the module $\wedge^\lambda W(1)$ is a free right $\mathbf{A}_\lambda$–module, and we have*

$$\wedge^\lambda W(1) \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_\lambda} \mathbf{A}_\lambda/\mathbf{I}_\lambda \cong \tau_{a_\lambda}^* W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)^*, \quad \mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} \wedge^\lambda W(1) = u^{a_\lambda}(1:u)_\lambda \,\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)^*.$$

3.8. The proof of step 4 is given in Section 5, where we establish,

Proposition. *If M has a filtration by global Weyl modules. then $M \otimes W(1)$ also admits such a filtration. In particular, $W(1)^{\otimes\lambda}$ has a filtration by global Weyl modules.*

Corollary. *If $M, N \in \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$ admit a filtration by global Weyl modules then $M \otimes N$ also admits such a filtration.*

Remark. The corollary is false for higher rank simple Lie algebras. In fact in the case of $\mathfrak{sl}_4$, it is not hard to check by computing the characters that the tensor square of the global Weyl module associated to the second fundamental representation cannot admit a filtration by global Weyl modules.

3.9. We isolate the following consequence of Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.7.

Proposition. *For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, the tilting module $T(\lambda, r)$ admits a free right action of $\mathbf{A}_\lambda$ which commutes with the left action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$. Moreover,*

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, T(\lambda, a_\lambda + r) = u^{a_\lambda}(1:u)_\lambda \,\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda, -r)^*.$$

□

3.10. Our final result expresses the graded character of the tilting module as a linear combination of the graded character of global Weyl modules.

Lemma. *We have*

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, T(\lambda, 0) = \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor \lambda/2 \rfloor} u^{s(s-\lambda)}(1:u)_s \,\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, W(\lambda - 2s).$$

The proof of the Lemma is in Section 5.14.

4. Indecomposability of $\wedge^\lambda W(1)$ and the dual Weyl filtration

In this section we prove steps 2 and 3 of Section 3.5 and establish Proposition 3.7.

4.1. The following was proved in [3] and will play an important role in this section.

Proposition. *Suppose that $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and that $\psi : \tau_r^* W(\mu) \to W(1)^{\otimes\lambda}$ is any non–zero map. Then $r \geq 0$, $\lambda = \mu$ and ψ is injective.* □

4.2. We now prove,

Proposition. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.*

(i) If M is a non–zero graded $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$–submodule of $\wedge^\lambda W(1)$ then $M_\lambda \neq 0$.
(ii) The subspace $(\wedge^\lambda W(1))_\lambda$ is generated as a $(h\otimes\mathbb{C}[t])$–module by the element $e_1^{\otimes\lambda}\otimes\mathrm{Vand}(\lambda)$.
(iii) The module $\wedge^\lambda W(1)$ is an indecomposable $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$–module.

Proof. Suppose that M is a graded $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$–submodule of $\wedge^\lambda W(1)$. Since $\mathrm{wt}\, M \subset \lambda - \mathbb{Z}_+$ and M is a sum of finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-modules, there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, with

$$M[p]_\mu \neq 0, \qquad (x \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])M[p]_\mu = 0.$$

It follows from the defininig relations of $W(\lambda)$ (see equation (3.2)), that there exists a non–zero map

$$\psi : \tau_p^* W(\mu) \longrightarrow M \hookrightarrow \wedge^\lambda W(1) \hookrightarrow W(1)^{\otimes\lambda}.$$

Proposition 4.1 implies that ψ is injective and $\mu = \lambda$, i.e. $M_\lambda \neq 0$ as required and proves part (i) of the proposition.

Recall that $\mathbf{A}_\lambda$ is generated as a subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[t_1, \cdots, t_\lambda]$ by the elements $t_1^s + \cdots + t_\lambda^s$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Part (ii) follows from (3.5) and the fact that

$$(h \otimes t^s)\left(e_1^{\otimes\lambda} \otimes \mathrm{Vand}(\lambda)\right) = e_1^{\otimes\lambda} \otimes \mathrm{Vand}(\lambda)(t_1^s + \cdots + t_\lambda^s), \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

To prove (iii), suppose that

$$\wedge^\lambda W(1) = U \oplus V,$$

where U and V are graded $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$-submodules of $\wedge^\lambda W(1)$. Since $(\wedge^\lambda W(1)[a_\lambda])_\lambda$ is one–dimensional it must be contained in U or V, say U. By part (ii) of the proposition we have $(\wedge^\lambda W(1))_\lambda \subset U$ which proves that $V_\lambda = 0$. Part (i) implies that $V = 0$ and the proof is complete. □

4.3. The local Weyl modules $W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda, r)$ have a natural universal property which is straightforward from the defining relations. Namely, let $V \in \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$ be such that

$$\dim V_\lambda = \dim V[r]_\lambda = p, \quad V_\mu = 0, \quad \mu > \lambda,$$

and assume that V is generated as an $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$–module by V_λ. Any vector space isomorphism from $\varphi : W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda, r)^{\oplus p}_\lambda \to V_\lambda$ extends to a surjective morphism of objects of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$,

$$\tilde{\varphi} : W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda, r)^{\oplus p} \longrightarrow V \to 0.$$

Moreover, the elementary representation theory of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ implies that we also have $(\ker\tilde{\varphi})_{-\lambda} = 0$. We shall frequently need the analog of this discussion for the dual local Weyl module and we isolate it in the following result.

Lemma. *Suppose that $U \in \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$ is such that*

$$\dim U_\lambda = \dim U[r]_\lambda = p, \qquad U_\mu = 0, \quad \mu > \lambda,$$

and assume that every non–zero submodule of U intersects U_λ non–trivially. There exists an injective morphism

$$0 \to U \to (W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda, -r)^*)^{\oplus p},$$

of objects of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$.

Proof. Let V be the $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$– submodule of U^* generated by $(U^*)_{\pm\lambda}$; note that the representation theory of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ implies that $(U^*)_{-\lambda} \subset V$ If V is a proper submodule of U^* then the quotient U^*/V is non–zero and $(U^*/V)_\lambda = 0$. By taing duals we see that this means that U contains a non–zero submodule which intersects U_λ trivially, contradicting our hypothesis. Hence $U^* = V$ and $\dim(U^*)[-r]_\lambda = \dim(U^*)_\lambda = p$. We have thus shown that the discussion preceding the Lemma applies to U^* and the lemma follows by passing to the dual situation. □

4.4. We need additional information about local Weyl modules.

Lemma. *For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, set $\bar\lambda = 0$ if λ is even and $\bar\lambda = 1$ otherwise. We have*

$$\operatorname{soc} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda) \cong V(\bar\lambda, s), \quad s = \lfloor \lambda/2 \rfloor \lceil \lambda/2 \rceil.$$

Equivalently

$$\mathbf{h}(W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)^*) \cong V(\bar\lambda, -s), \quad s = \lfloor \lambda/2 \rfloor \lceil \lambda/2 \rceil.$$

Proof. It was proved in [9] and [11] that the local Weyl module for $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$ is isomorphic to a Demazure module in a level one representation of the corresponding affine Lie algebra. It is immediate that the socle of the Weyl module is simple and is the $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$–submodule generated by the highest weight vector in the level one representation. Since the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$–submodule generated by this highest weight vector is trivial if λ is even and two–dimensional if λ is odd, it follows that there exists $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\operatorname{soc} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda) \cong V(\bar\lambda, p)$ as $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$–modules. To compute the grade in which the socle is concentrated, recall from Proposition 3.2 that

$$\sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} [W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)[s] : V(\bar\lambda)] = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ \lfloor \lambda/2 \rfloor \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ \lfloor \lambda/2 \rfloor - 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is straightforward to check that the highest power of u occurring on the right hand side is $\lfloor \lambda/2 \rfloor \lceil \lambda/2 \rceil$. The sum of all graded components with grade at least $\lfloor \lambda/2 \rfloor \lceil \lambda/2 \rceil$ is a submodule of $W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)$ and hence contains $\operatorname{soc} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)$. It follows that $\operatorname{soc} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)$ is concentrated in grade $\lfloor \lambda/2 \rfloor \lceil \lambda/2 \rceil$ as required. The second statement of the Lemma is immediate by taking duals. □

4.5. We now define the desired filtration. Set $M = M^0 = \wedge^\lambda W(1)$ and for $s \geq 1$, let M^s be the maximal $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$–submodule of M^{s-1} such that

$$M^s[a_\lambda + s - 1] \cap M_\lambda = 0.$$

Equivalently, M^s, $s \geq 1$ is the maximal submodule of M_0 such that

$$M^s[a_\lambda + p] \cap M_\lambda = 0, \quad 0 \leq p \leq s - 1.$$

In particular, we have

$$M[a_\lambda + p]_\lambda \subset M^s, \quad p \geq s, \qquad \left(M^s/M^{s+1}\right)_\lambda \cong M[a_\lambda + s]_\lambda. \tag{4.1}$$

Lemma. *We have*

$$\bigcap_{s\in\mathbb{Z}_+} M^s = \{0\},$$

and hence $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} M = \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}(M^s/M^{s+1})$.

Proof. Let V be a submodule of $\cap_s M^s$, in which case, we have by the definition of M^s that $V[a_\lambda + s]_\lambda = 0$ for all $s \geq 0$, i.e., $V_\lambda = 0$. Proposition 4.2 (i) implies that $V = 0$ and hence the desired intersection is zero. The second statement on characters is standard and is identical to the proof of [4, Proposition 2.9(ii)]. □

4.6. We now prove,

Lemma. *(i) For $s \geq 0$, we have an injective morphism*

$$0 \to M^s/M^{s+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_s} \left(\tau^*_{a_\lambda+s}\left(W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)^*\right)\right)^{\oplus \dim M[a_\lambda+s]_\lambda}.$$

(ii) The map φ_0 gives an isomorphism

$$M^0/M^1 \cong \tau^*_{a_\lambda}\left(W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)^*\right).$$

Proof. Set $U = M^s/M^{s+1}$, let U' be a non–zero submodule of U and let $\tilde{U}' \supsetneq M^{s+1}$ be its preimage in M^s. Equation (4.1) shows that $\tilde{U}' \cap M[a_\lambda + s]_\lambda$ has non–zero image in U, i.e., $U'_\lambda \neq 0$. Since $U_\mu = 0$ for all $\mu > \lambda$, we have now proved that U satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 4.3 which establishes the existence of the morphism φ_s with the desired properties.

We now prove part (ii). Using Lemma 4.4 we see that $W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)^*$ is generated by any non–zero element of weight $\bar{\lambda}$ and of grade $(-\lceil\lambda/2\rceil\lfloor\lambda/2\rfloor)$. Consider the composite map,

$$\varphi : M^0 \to M^0/M^1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} \tau^*_{a_\lambda}\left(W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)^*\right).$$

By Lemma 4.4 we have

$$\mathbf{h}\left(\tau^*_{a_\lambda}\left(W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)^*\right)\right) = V(\bar{\lambda}, a_\lambda - \lfloor\lambda/2\rfloor\lceil\lambda/2\rceil) = V(\bar{\lambda}, \lfloor\lambda/2\rfloor\lceil\lambda/2-1\rceil).$$

Hence to prove that φ_0 is surjective, it suffices to prove that $\varphi(\mathbf{v}) \neq 0$ where

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{\sigma\in S_\lambda} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\sigma(e_1 \otimes e_1 t \otimes \cdots \otimes e_1 t^{\lceil\lambda/2\rceil-1} \otimes e_2 \otimes e_2 t \otimes \cdots \otimes e_2 t^{\lfloor\lambda/2\rfloor-1}).$$

Note that the weight of $\mathbf{v}$ is $\bar{\lambda}$ and it is of grade $(\lceil\lambda/2-1\rceil\lfloor\lambda/2\rfloor)$. A simple calculation shows that if we set $\mathbf{w} = (x \otimes t^{\lceil\lambda/2\rceil})^{\lfloor\lambda/2\rfloor}\mathbf{v}$ then,

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{\sigma\in S_\lambda} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\sigma(e_1 \otimes e_1 t \otimes \cdots \otimes e_1 t^{\lceil\lambda/2\rceil-1} \otimes e_1 t^{\lceil\lambda/2\rceil} \otimes e_1 t^{\lceil\lambda/2\rceil+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_1 t^{\lfloor\lambda/2\rfloor+\lceil\lambda/2\rceil-1}),$$

i.e., $\mathbf{w} = e_1^{\otimes\lambda} \otimes \mathrm{Vand}(\lambda)$. Since $\mathbf{w}$ is the unique element (up to scalara) of weight λ and grade a_λ, it follows from (4.1) that the image of $\mathbf{w}$ in M^0/M^1 is non–zero. Since φ_0 is injective it follows that $\varphi(\mathbf{w})$ is non–zero and hence $\varphi(\mathbf{v}) \neq 0$ as required.

□

4.7. Given two objects U and V of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$ we shall say that $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, U \leq \mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, V$ if for all $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$[U[r] : V(\mu)] \leq [V[r] : V(\mu)].$$

Lemma 4.6 gives

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, M^s/M^{s+1} \leq u^{a_\lambda+s}\left(\dim M\left[s + a_\lambda\right]_\lambda\right)\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)^*. \tag{4.2}$$

Hence to prove that the filtration $M^s \supset M^{s+1}$, $s \geq 0$ is a filtration by dual local Weyl modules, or equivalently that the maps φ_s are isomorphisms, it suffices to prove that equality holds for sll $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ in the previous equation. Using (4.1), Lemma 4.5, we get

$$\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} M = \sum_{s\geq 0} \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} M^s/M^{s+1} \ \leq u^{a_\lambda} \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)^* \sum_{p\geq 0} \dim M\,[p+a_\lambda]_\lambda\, u^p.$$

Since

$$u^{a_\lambda} \sum_{p\geq 0} \dim M\,[p+a_\lambda]_\lambda\, u^p = \mathbb{H}(M_\lambda) = u^{a_\lambda}(1:u)_\lambda,$$

where the last equality is from (3.5), we get

$$\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} M \leq u^{a_\lambda}(1:u)_\lambda \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)^*. \tag{4.3}$$

Clearly, equality holds in (4.2) for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ iff it holds in (4.3) for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

4.8. Recall that by the discussion in Section 2.5 we know that $M = \wedge^\lambda W(1)$ is a free $\mathbf{A}_\lambda$–module.

Proposition. *We have a surjective morphism of $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$–modules,*

$$\psi : (M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_\lambda} \mathbf{A}_\lambda/\mathbf{I}_\lambda) \longrightarrow \tau_{a_\lambda}^*(W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)^*) \to 0,$$

and hence

$$\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} M \geq u^{a_\lambda}(1:u)_\lambda \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)^*. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Let $\pi : M \to (M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_\lambda} \mathbf{A}_\lambda/\mathbf{I}_\lambda) \to 0$ be the canonical map of graded $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$–modules. Since M is a free $\mathbf{A}_\lambda$–module we have that M_μ is also a free $\mathbf{A}_\lambda$–module for all $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$, and

$$\mathbf{rk}_{\mathbf{A}_\lambda} M_\mu = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_\lambda} \mathbf{A}_\lambda/\mathbf{I}_\lambda)_\mu.$$

Since M_λ is a free $\mathbf{A}_\lambda$ module of rank one and generated by the element $e_1^{\otimes\lambda} \otimes \mathrm{Vand}(\lambda)$ it follows that $\pi(e_1^{\otimes\lambda} \otimes \mathrm{Vand}(\lambda)) \neq 0$ and hence by (3.5),

$$\ker \pi \cap M[a_\lambda]_\lambda = 0.$$

The definition of M^1 implies that $\ker\pi \subset M^1$ and hence we have a non–zero map $M/\ker\pi \to M/M^1 \to 0$. Composing with the map φ_0 of Lemma 4.6(ii) proves the existence of the map ψ. In particular, it also shows that

$$\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}(M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_\lambda} \mathbf{A}_\lambda/\mathbf{I}_\lambda) \geq u^{a_\lambda} \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)^*.$$

Hence to establish the inequality in (4.4) it suffices to prove that

$$\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} M = (1:u)_\lambda \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}(M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_\lambda} \mathbf{A}_\lambda/\mathbf{I}_\lambda). \tag{4.5}$$

The proof of this is identical to the one given in [4, Sections 3.6-3.7] in the context of global Weyl modules, and we recall the argument briefly for the reader's convenience. For $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, set $M_\mu^x = \{v \in M_\mu : xv = 0\}$. Then by [4, Lemma 1.5], we have a decomposition of M_μ into graded subspaces,

$$M_\mu = ((y\otimes 1)M \cap M_\mu) \oplus M_\mu^x, \quad \text{and so} \quad \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} M = \sum_{\mu\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \operatorname{ch} V(\mu)\mathbb{H}(M_\mu^x). \tag{4.6}$$

Since the action of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ commutes with the action of $\mathbf{A}_\lambda$ it follows that M_μ and M_μ^x are graded $\mathbf{A}_\lambda$-modules. Since M is a free $\mathbf{A}_\lambda$–module and hence M_μ and M_μ^x are also free $\mathbf{A}_\lambda$ modules and so,

$$\mathbb{H}(M_\mu^x) = \mathbb{H}\left(M_\mu^x \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_\lambda} \mathbf{A}_\lambda/\mathbf{I}_\lambda\right)\mathbb{H}(\mathbf{A}_\lambda) = (1:u)_\lambda \mathbb{H}\left(M_\mu^x \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_\lambda} \mathbf{A}_\lambda/\mathbf{I}_\lambda\right).$$

Substituting in (4.6), we get

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, M = (1:u)_\lambda \sum_{\mu\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \mathrm{ch}\, V(\mu)\mathbb{H}\left(M_\mu^x \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_\lambda} \mathbf{A}_\lambda/\mathbf{I}_\lambda\right) = (1:u)_\lambda \mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}(M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_\lambda} \mathbf{A}_\lambda/\mathbf{I}_\lambda),$$

which establsihes (4.5) and completes the proof of the proposition.

□

4.9. Equation (4.4) shows that equality holds in (4.3) and hence also in (4.2). This completes the proof that M has a filtration by dual local Weyl modules. Using (4.5), we also get

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}(M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_\lambda} \mathbf{A}_\lambda/\mathbf{I}_\lambda) = u^{a_\lambda}\, \mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)^*.$$

This shows that the map $\psi : (M \otimes_{\mathbf{A}_\lambda} \mathbf{A}_\lambda/\mathbf{I}_\lambda) \longrightarrow \tau_{a_\lambda}^*(W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)^*)$ is an isomorphism and we have also proved Proposition 3.7.

5. Proof of Proposition 3.8 and the global Weyl filtration of $\wedge^\lambda W(1)$.

We begin this section by recalling an important homological criterion (established in [2] in the dual case) for an object of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$ to admit a filtration by global Weyl modules. We remark here that the dual objects lie in the category where the objects have only finitely many positively graded pieces and there is no difficulty in going between these categories using the duality functor.

5.1. Given $M \in \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$ with $M = \oplus_{\mu\in\mathbb{Z}} M_\mu$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let M^λ be the $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$–submodule generated by the eigenspaces $M_{\lambda+\nu}$ with $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then,

$$M^0 = M, \qquad M^\lambda \supset M^{\lambda+1},$$

and $M^\lambda = 0$ for λ sufficiently large. This decreasing filtration is called the o–canonical filtration of M. The dual of the following proposition was established in [2, Section 3].

Proposition. *Let $M \in \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $M^\lambda \neq M^{\lambda+1}$, there exists a canonical homomorphism of objects of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$,*

$$\bigoplus_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} W(\lambda,p)^{\oplus m_{p,\lambda}} \to M^\lambda/M^{\lambda+1} \to 0, \quad m_{p,\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Moreover,

$$m_{p,\lambda} \leq \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}}(M^\lambda/M^{\lambda+1}, V(\lambda,p)) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}}(M, W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda,-p)^*). \tag{5.1}$$

and so, we have

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, M = \sum_{\lambda\geq 0} \mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, M^\lambda/M^{\lambda+1} \leq \sum_{\lambda\geq 0}\sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}}(M, W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda,-p)^*)\, \mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, W(\lambda,p). \tag{5.2}$$

□

5.2. The relation between the o–canonical filtration of M and a global Weyl filtration on M was given in [2, Propositions 2.6 and 3.11] and is summarized as follows.

Proposition. *Let $M \in \mathrm{Ob}\,\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$. The following are equivalent:*

(i) M has a filtration by global Weyl modules.
(ii) The successive quotients in the o–canonical filtration are isomorphic to direct sums of global Weyl modules. and

$$\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, M = \sum_{\lambda\geq 0}\sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}}(M, W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda,-p)^*)\,\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\, W(\lambda,p). \tag{5.3}$$

(iii) For all $(\lambda,p)\in\mathbb{Z}_+\times\mathbb{Z}$, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}}(M, W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda,p)^*)=0$.

□

We note the following corollary and remark that it is non–trivial since the global Weyl filtrations involved are not finite (see Remark 3.3) in the sense that $m_{p,\lambda}$ can be non–zero for infinitely many p.

Corollary. *The full subcategory consisting of objects of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$ which admit a filtration by global Weyl modules is closed under*

(i) taking direct summands,
(ii) extensions and
(iii) infinite direct sums.

Proof. All statements follow by applying $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}}(-, W_{\mathrm{loc}}(p,r)^*)$ and using Proposition 5.2(iii). □

5.3. Assume the following result for the moment.

Proposition. *For all $\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, the module $W(\lambda)\otimes W(1)$ admits a filtration by global Weyl modules.*

5.4. We complete the proof of Proposition 3.8 and its corollary. Let M be an object of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$ which admits a filtration by global Weyl modules, say

$$M = M^0 \supset M^1 \supset \cdots \supset M^k \supset M^{k+1} = \{0\}.$$

It suffices to prove that the successive quotients of

$$M\otimes W(1) \supset M^1\otimes W(1) \supset \cdots \supset M^k\otimes W(1) \supset \{0\},$$

are isomorphic to direct sums of global Weyl modules. By Corollary 5.2(ii) it suffices to show that each $M^j\otimes W(1)$ has a filtration by global Weyl modules. We prove this by a downward induction on M^j. We fist show that induction begins at $j=k$ when M^k is isomorphic to a direct sum of global Weyl modules. Proposition 5.3 implies that $M^k\otimes W(1)$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of modules admitting a filtration by global Weyl modules. Using Corollary 5.2(iii) it follows that $M^k\otimes W(1)$ has a filtration by global Weyl modules. Assume the result hold for $M^{j+1}\otimes W(1)$ and consider the short exact sequence

$$0\to M^{j+1}\otimes W(1)\to M^j\otimes W(1)\to \frac{M^j}{M^{j+1}}\otimes W(1)\to 0.$$

Using the induction hypothesis, Corollary 5.2(ii), (iii) and Proposition 5.3 shows that $M^j \otimes W(1)$ has a filtration by global Weyl modules and completes the proof of the inductive step. A straightforward induction on λ now proves that $W(1)^{\otimes\lambda}$ has a filtration by global Weyl modules.

To prove Corollary 3.8, an argument identical to the one given above, shows that it is enough to prove that for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the module $W(\lambda) \otimes W(\mu)$ admits a filtration by global Weyl modules. Proposition 3.2(i) shows that $W(\lambda) \otimes W(\mu)$ is a direct summand of $W(1)^{\otimes\lambda+\mu}$ and the result follows by using Corollary 5.2(i).

5.5. We complete the proof that $\wedge^\lambda W(1)$ has a filtration by global Weyl modules. Since $\wedge^\lambda W(1)$ is a $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$–summand of $W(1)^{\otimes(\lambda}$ it follows again by Corollary 5.2(i), that $\wedge^\lambda W(1)$ admits a filtration by global Weyl modules .

The proof Proposition 5.3 needs several preliminary results.

5.6. We prove,

Lemma. *Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.*

(i) The subspace $w_\lambda \otimes W(\mu)_{-\mu}$ generates the $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$–module $W(\lambda) \otimes W(\mu)$.
(ii) The non-zero quotients occuring in the o–canonical filtration of $W(\lambda)\otimes W(\mu)$ are quotients of direct sum of modules $\tau_s^* W(\lambda + \mu - 2p)$ for $s, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $0 \le p \le \min\{\lambda, \mu\}$.

Proof. Since $(x \otimes 1)W(\mu)_\mu = 0$, a standard $\mathfrak{sl}_2$–argument proves that $(x \otimes 1)^\mu (y \otimes 1)^\mu w$ is a non–zero multiple of w for all $w \in W(\mu)_\mu$. Since $\operatorname{wt} W(\mu) \subset \{\mu, \mu - 2, \cdots, -\mu\}$ we get

$$W(\mu) = \mathbf{U}(x \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])W(\mu)_{-\mu}.$$

Hence $\mathbf{U}(x \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])(w_\lambda \otimes W(\mu)_{-\mu}) = w_\lambda \otimes W(\mu)$. Applying elements of $y \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ now proves that

$$W(\lambda) \otimes W(\mu) = \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2[t])(w_\lambda \otimes W(\mu)_{-\mu}).$$

To prove (ii), set $M = W(\lambda) \otimes W(\mu)$ and let $M = M^0 \supsetneq M^1 \supsetneq \cdots M^k \supsetneq M^{k+1} = \{0\}$ be a re-indexing of the o–canonical filtration so that the successive quotients are non–zero. By Proposition 5.1, the modules M^p/M^{p+1}, $0lp \le k$, are quotients of the direct sum of modules $W(\lambda_p, r)$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $\lambda_p \in \lambda + \mu - 2\mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\lambda_p > \lambda_{p-1}$ for all $0 \le p \le k$. Assume that $\mu \le \lambda$ without loss of generality. To complete the proof of part (ii) of the lemma we must show that $\lambda_0 \ge \lambda - \mu$. By part (i) of the lemma, we see that since $M^0/M^1 \ne 0$, the map $M = M^0 \to M^0/M^1 \to 0$ must be non–zero on the subspace $M_{\lambda-\mu}$ and hence we must have $(M^0/M^1)_{\lambda-\mu} \ne 0$ which proves that $\lambda_0 \ge \lambda - \mu$ as required.

□

5.7. Using Lemma 5.6 (i) and the fact that $W(\lambda) \otimes W(1)$ has only non–negatively graded components, it is clear that

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}}(W(\lambda) \otimes W(1), W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mu, -r)^*) \ne 0 \implies \mu = \lambda \pm 1,\ r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}.$$

Lemma. *For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}(W(\lambda) \otimes W(1)) = [\lambda + 1] \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W(\lambda + 1) + (1 : u)_1 \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W(\lambda - 1). \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. The proof is a straightforward calculation using the explicit formulae for the graded characters of the global Weyl modules given in Proposition 3.2(ii) and the fact that the graded character is multiplicative on tensor products. □

5.8. Following [16] define elements $P_n \in \mathbf{U}(h \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ recursively, by:

$$P_0 = 1, \quad P_n = -\frac{1}{n}\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}(h \otimes t^{s+1})P_{n-s-1}.$$

It is easily seen that monomials in P_n, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ are a basis for $\mathbf{U}((h \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])$. Recall that the assignment $\Delta(z) = z \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes z$, $z \in \mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$ defines the comultiplication on $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2[t])$. A simple induction shows that

$$\Delta(P_n) = \sum_{s=0}^{n} P_s \otimes P_{n-s}. \tag{5.5}$$

The following result is a consequence of [16, Lemma 7.16] (see [11] for this formulation).

Lemma. *Suppose that V is a $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$–module and let $v \in V$. Then,*

$$(x \otimes \ \mathbb{C}[t])v = 0 \implies (x \otimes t)^s(y \otimes 1)^s v = P_s v, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

□

5.9. As a consequence of Lemma 5.8, we see that

$$P_s w_\lambda = 0, \quad s \geq \lambda + 1, \tag{5.6}$$

and hence $W(\lambda)_\lambda$ is spanned by monomials in $\{P_s : 0 \leq s \leq \lambda\}$. Since $P_1 = -(h \otimes t)$, we get

$$W(1)[r] = \mathbb{C}(h \otimes t)^r w_1, \quad r \geq 0.$$

Lemma. *For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$(W(\lambda) \otimes W(1))_{\lambda+1} = \sum_{r \geq 0} \mathbf{U}(h \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])(w_\lambda \otimes (h \otimes t^r)w_1).$$

Proof. It clearly suffices to prove that for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, the element $w_\lambda \otimes (h \otimes t)^p w_1$ is in the $(h \otimes \mathbb{C}[t])$–submodule generated by the elements $w_\lambda \otimes (h \otimes t^s)w_1$, $0 \leq s \leq \lambda$. Recall that $P_1 = -(h \otimes t)$. Using (5.5) and (5.6), we get

$$P_{\lambda+1}(w_\lambda \otimes w_1) = P_\lambda w_\lambda \otimes P_1 w_1,$$
$$P_\lambda(w_\lambda \otimes P_1 w_1) = P_\lambda w_\lambda \otimes P_1 w_1 + P_{\lambda-1} w_\lambda \otimes P_1^2 w_1.$$

This proves that $P_{\lambda-1} w_\lambda \otimes P_1^2 w_1$ is in the $\mathbf{U}((h \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]))$–module generated by $w_\lambda \otimes P_1^r w_1$, $r = 0, 1$. Now using,

$$P_{\lambda-1}(w_\lambda \otimes P_1^2 w_1) = P_{\lambda-1} w_\lambda \otimes P_1^2 w_1 + P_{\lambda-2} \otimes P_1^3 w_1,$$

we get $P_{\lambda-2} \otimes P_1^3 w_1$ is in the $\mathbf{U}((h \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]))$–module generated by $w_\lambda \otimes P_1^r w_1$, $r = 0, 1, 2$. Repeating this argument proves that $w_\lambda \otimes P_1^{\lambda+1} w_1$ is in the $\mathbf{U}((h \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]))$–module generated by $w_\lambda \otimes P_1^r w_1$, $r = 0, 1, \cdots, \lambda$. A further repetition of these steps with $w_\lambda \otimes P^s w_\lambda$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}$ proves the Lemma. □

5.10. We now prove,

Lemma. *For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}}(W(\lambda)\otimes W(1), W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda+1,-r)^*) \neq 0 \quad \Longrightarrow\ 0 \leq r \leq \lambda.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi : W(\lambda)\otimes W(1) \to W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda+1,-r)^*$ be any non–zero map of objects of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$. Since

$$\mathrm{soc}\,(W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda+1,-r)^*) = V(\lambda+1,r), \quad \dim(W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda+1,-r)^*)_{\lambda+1} = 1,$$

we must have

$$\varphi((W(\lambda)_\lambda \otimes W(1)_1)\,[r]) \neq 0, \qquad \varphi((W(\lambda)_\lambda \otimes W(1)_1)\,[s]) = 0, \quad s \neq r.$$

On the other hand Lemma 5.9 implies that $\varphi(w_\lambda \otimes (h\otimes t)^p w_1) \neq 0$ for some $0 \leq p \leq \lambda$. Hence we must have $p = r$ and the proof is complete. □

5.11. The next result we need is,

Lemma. *For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}}(W(\lambda)\otimes W(1), W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda\pm 1,-r)^*) \leq 1, \quad r \geq 0.$$

Proof. To prove the Lemma for $\lambda+1$, we take $M = W(\lambda)\otimes W(1)$ and note that

$$M^{\lambda+1} = \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])(W(\lambda)_\lambda \otimes W(1)_1), \qquad M^{\lambda+2} = 0.$$

Using equation (5.1) (with λ replaced by $\lambda+1$) we get

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}}(M, W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda+1,-r)^*) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}}(M^{\lambda+1}, V(\lambda+1,r)),$$

and hence it is enough to prove that the right hand side is at most one. If $\varphi : M^{\lambda+1} \to V(\lambda+1,r)$ is any non–zero morphism, then it is surjective and hence must be non–zero on $W(\lambda)_\lambda \otimes W(1)_1$. Lemma 5.9 implies that the map φ is determined by its values on the elements $w_\lambda \otimes (h\otimes t)^p w_1$ for $0 \leq p \leq \lambda$. If $p \neq r$ the image of $w_\lambda \otimes (h\otimes t)^p w_1$ is zero since $V(\lambda+1,r)$ is concentrated in grade r. Hence φ is determined by its value on $w_\lambda \otimes (h\otimes t)^r w_1$ and since $\dim V(\lambda+1,r)_{\lambda+1} = 1$, the result follows for $\lambda+1$.

Let $\varphi : W(\lambda)\otimes W(1) \to W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda-1,-r)^*$ be any non–zero morphism of $\mathfrak{sl}_2[t]$–modules. By Lemma 5.6, φ is determined by its values on $w_\lambda \otimes W(1)_{-1}$. Since $xW(1)_1 = 0$, and $W(1)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of finite–dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2$–modules, it follows that $y : W(1)_1 \to W(1)_{-1}$ is an isomorphism of graded spaces and, hence

$$\dim W(1)[s]_{-1} = \dim \mathbb{C}(y(h\otimes t)^s)w_\lambda \leq 1.$$

Since $\dim\,(W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda-1,r))^*\,[s]_{\lambda-1} \leq 1$ with equality holding iff $s = r$, we see that

$$\varphi(w_\lambda \otimes W(1)_{-1}) = \varphi(w_\lambda \otimes y(h\otimes t^r)w_1) \subset (W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda-1,-r)^*)\,[r]_{\lambda-1},$$

which completes the proof of the Lemma. □

5.12. *Proof of Proposition 5.3.* To prove that $W(\lambda)\otimes W(1)$ admits a filtration by global Weyl modules, we use Lemma (5.10) and Lemma (5.11) and Proposition 5.1, to get

$$\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\left(W(\lambda)\otimes W(1)\right) \leq \sum_{r=0}^{\lambda} \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W(\lambda+1,r) + \sum_{r\geq 0} \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W(\lambda-1,r)$$

i.e.,

$$\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\left(W(\lambda)\otimes W(1)\right) \leq \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\lambda} u^r\right) \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W(\lambda+1) + \left(\sum_{r\geq 0} u^r\right) \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W(\lambda-1).$$

Equation 5.4 implies that we must have an equality. Proposition 5.2 now gives that that the o–canonical filtration is a filtration by global Weyl modules, more precisely, we have the following short exact sequence of objects of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{bdd}}$:

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{r=0}^{\lambda} W(\lambda+1,r) \to W(\lambda)\otimes W(1) \to \bigoplus_{r\geq 0} W(\lambda-1,r) \to 0,$$

proving that $W(\lambda)\otimes W(1)$ admits a filtration by global Weyl modules.

5.13. We determine explicitly the filtration multiplicities in the tensor product of global Weyl modules.

Proposition. *For $\lambda,\mu,\nu\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, the multiplicity of $W(\lambda+\mu-2\nu)$ in a global Weyl filtration of $W(\lambda)\otimes W(\mu)$ is $\begin{bmatrix}\lambda+\mu-2\nu\\ \mu-\nu\end{bmatrix}(1:u)_\nu$, or equivalently,*

$$\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\left(W(\lambda)\otimes W(\mu)\right) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\min\{\lambda,\mu\}} \begin{bmatrix}\lambda+\mu-2\nu\\ \mu-\nu\end{bmatrix}(1:u)_\nu \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W(\lambda+\mu-2\nu). \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. Notice first that since we know that $W(\lambda)\otimes W(\mu)$ has a filtration by global Weyl modules, the two statements in the proposition are indeed equivalent. Assume without loss of generality that $\lambda\geq\mu$ and note that the case when $\mu=1$ was proved in Lemma 5.11. We complete the proof by induction on μ and have only to establish the inductive step. Assuming the result for μ we prove the result for $\mu+1$ by using the induction hypothesis to compute the graded character of $W(\lambda)\otimes W(\mu)\otimes W(1)$ in two ways. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\left((W(\lambda)\otimes W(\mu))\otimes W(1)\right) &= \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\mu} \begin{bmatrix}\lambda+\mu-2\nu\\ \mu-\nu\end{bmatrix}(1:u)_\nu \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W(\lambda+\mu-2\nu)\right) \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W(1)\\
&= \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\mu} \begin{bmatrix}\lambda+\mu-2\nu\\ \mu-\nu\end{bmatrix}(1:u)_\nu[\lambda+\mu+1-2\nu] \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W(\lambda+\mu+1-2\nu)\right)\\
&\quad + \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\mu} \begin{bmatrix}\lambda+\mu-2\nu\\ \mu-\nu\end{bmatrix}(1:u)_\nu(1:u)_1 \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W(\lambda+\mu-1-2\nu)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}(W(\lambda)\otimes(W(\mu)\otimes W(1))) = \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W(\lambda)([\mu+1]\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W(\mu+1)+(1:u)_1\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W(\mu-1)),$$

$$= [\mu+1]\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}(W(\lambda)\otimes W(\mu+1))+(1:u)_1\sum_{\nu=0}^{\mu-1}\begin{bmatrix}\lambda+\mu-1-2\mu\\ \mu-1-\nu\end{bmatrix}(1:u)_\nu\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W(\lambda+\mu-1-2\nu).$$

Equating the two solutions gives the result. This is easily seen by using the following identity, which is straightforward to establish

$$(1:u)_\nu(1:u)_1\left(\begin{bmatrix}\lambda+\mu-2\nu\\ \mu-\nu\end{bmatrix}-\begin{bmatrix}\lambda+\mu-1-2\nu\\ \mu-1-\nu\end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$=(1:u)_{\nu+1}\left(\begin{bmatrix}\lambda+\mu-1-2\nu\\ \mu-\nu\end{bmatrix}[\mu+1]-\begin{bmatrix}\lambda+\mu-2-2\nu\\ \mu-1-\nu\end{bmatrix}[\lambda+\mu-1-2\nu]\right)$$

. □

5.14. We now prove Lemma 3.10. Using the relation between the graded character of the global and local Weyl modules given in Proposition 3.2(ii) and Proposition 3.7 we see that proving Lemma 3.10 is equivalent to proving that

$$\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)^* = \sum_{s=0}^{\lfloor\lambda/2\rfloor} u^{s(s-\lambda)}\frac{(1:u)_s(1_u)_{\lambda-2s}}{(1:u)_\lambda}\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda-2s).$$

For, $\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ we see that Proposition 3.2(ii) and Lemma 5.7 imply,

$$\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(1) = \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda+1)+(1-u^\lambda)\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda-1). \tag{5.8}$$

Noting that $W_{\mathrm{loc}}(1)$ is self–dual, we get

$$\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)^*\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(1)^* = \operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda+1)^*+(1-u^{-\lambda})\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda-1)^*. \tag{5.9}$$

Since

$$\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda) = \operatorname{ch} V(\lambda)\oplus_{0\le\mu<\lambda} f_\mu\operatorname{ch} V(\mu),$$

for a unique choice of $f_\mu\in\mathbb{Z}[u]$, it follows that we can write $\operatorname{ch} V(\lambda)-\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)$ uniquely as a $\mathbb{Z}[u]$–linear combination of $\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mu)$ with $0\le\mu<\lambda$. It follows therefore that for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ we can write

$$\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda)^* = \sum_{s\ge0} b_\lambda(s)\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda-2s), \tag{5.10}$$

for a unique choice of $b_\lambda(s)\in\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]$, with $b_\lambda(0)=1$ and $b_\lambda(s)=0$ if $2s>\lambda$. Substituting in equation (5.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{s\ge0} b_\lambda(s)\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda-2s)\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(1) &= \sum_{s\ge0} b_{\lambda+1}(s)\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda+1-2s)\\ &+ (1-u^{-\lambda})\sum_{s\ge0} b_{\lambda-1}(s)\operatorname{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}} W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda-1-2s).\end{aligned}$$

Using equation 5.8 on the left hand side of the preceding equation, gives

$$\sum_{s\geq 0} b_\lambda(s)\,\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\,W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda+1-2s)+\sum_{s\geq 0}(1-u^{\lambda-2s})b_\lambda(s)\,\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\,W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda-2s-1)=$$
$$\sum_{s\geq 0} b_{\lambda+1}(s)\,\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\,W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda+1-2s)+(1-u^{-\lambda})\sum_{s\geq 0} b_{\lambda-1}(s)\,\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\,W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda-1-2s)$$

Equating the coefficients of $\mathrm{ch}_{\mathrm{gr}}\,W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\lambda+1-2s)$ on both sides, gives the following recursion:

$$b_{\lambda+1}(s)+(1-u^{-\lambda})b_{\lambda-1}(s-1)=b_\lambda(s)+(1-u^{\lambda-2s+2})b_\lambda(s-1),$$

with initial conditions $b_0(0)=b_1(0)=1$ and $b_\lambda(s)=0$, $2s>\lambda$. It is straightforward to check that taking

$$b_\lambda(s)=u^{s(s-\lambda)}\frac{(1:u)_s(1:u)_{\lambda-2s}}{(1:u)_\lambda}$$

satisfies the recursion and has the same initial conditions. This proves the Lemma.

References

[1] M. Bennett, A. Berenstein, V. Chari, A. Khoroshkin and S. Loktev, *Macdonald Polynomials and BGG reciprocity for the curent algebras,* Selecta Mathematica, **20** (2), (2014) 585-607.

[2] M. Bennett and V. Chari, *Tilting modules for the current algebra of a simple Lie algebra*, *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics (86): Recent Developments in Lie Algebras, Groups and Representation Theory* 2012, 75-97.

[3] M. Bennett, V. Chari, J. Greenstein, and N. Manning, *On homomorphisms between global Weyl modules,* Represent. Theory **15** (2011), 733-752.

[4] M. Bennett, V. Chari and N. Manning, *BGG reciprocity for current algebras,* Advances in Mathematics **231** (2012), 276-305.

[5] N. Bourbaki, *Algebra I*, Ch. II, Springer-Verlag (1989).

[6] V. Chari, G. Fourier and T. Khandai, *A categorical approach to Weyl modules,* Transform. Groups **15** (2010), no. 3, 517–549.

[7] V. Chari and J. Greenstein, *Current algebras, highest weight categories and quivers* Advances in Mathematics **216** (2007), no. 2, 811–840.

[8] V. Chari and B. Ion *BGG reciprocity for current algebras*, Compositio Mathematica, Available on CJO2015 doi:10.1112/S0010437X14007908.

[9] V. Chari and S. Loktev, *Weyl, Demazure and fusion modules for the current algebra of* $\mathfrak{sl}_{r+1}$, Adv. Math. **207** (2006), 928–960.

[10] V. Chari and A. Moura, *The restricted Kirillov–Reshetikhin modules for the current and twisted current algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **266** (2), (2006) 431-454.

[11] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Weyl modules for classical and quantum affine algebras,* Represent. Theory **5** (2001), 191–223 (electronic).

[12] E. Cline, B. Parshall and L. Scott, *Finite-dimensional algebras and highest weight categories*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **391** (1988), 85-99.

[13] S. Donkin, *Tilting modules for algebraic groups and finite dimensional algebras*, A handbook of tilting theory, London Math. Society Lect. Notes 332 (2007), 215–257.

[14] B. Feigin and S. Loktev, *Multi-dimensional Weyl modules and symmetric functions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **251** (2004), no. 3, 427 - 445.

[15] G. Fouier and P. Littelmann, *Weyl modules, Demazure modules, KR-modules, crystals, fusion products and limit constructions*, Adv. Math. **211** (2007), no. 2, 566–593.

[16] H. Garland, *The arithmetic theory of loop algebras,* J. Algebra **53** (1978), 480–551.

[17] A. Khoroshkin, *Highest weight categories and Macdonald Polynomials* ,arXiv:1312.7053.

[18] A. Kleshchev, *Affine highest weight categories and affine quasihereditary algebras*, arXiv:1405.3328.

[19] O. Mathieu, *Tilting modules and their applications*, Adv. Studies in Pure Math. **26** (2000), 145-212.
[20] A. Moura, *Restricted limits of minimal affinizations,* Pacific Journal of Mathematics **244** (2010), No. 2, 359-397.
[21] K. Naoi, *Weyl modules, Demazure modules and finite crystals for non-simply laced type*, Adv. Math. **229**, (2012) 2, 875–934.
[22] K. Naoi, *Graded Limits of Minimal Affinizations in Type D*, SIGMA **10** (2014).
[23] K. Naoi, *Demazure modules and graded limits of minimal affinizations*, Represent. Theory **17** (2013), 524-556.

Department of Mathematics, State University of Campinas, Campinas - SP, 13083-859, Brazil

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521

E-mail address: mbenn002@gmail.com

E-mail address: chari@math.ucr.edu